

|   |                                      |                                       |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/775,448 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>GOODMAN ET AL. |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>Thanh D. Vo       | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2189               |  |

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Thanh D. Vo.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Keith Baxter (Reg. No. 31,233).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 September 2007
**Time:** 12:00 PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Rejections to claims 21-22*

Claims discussed:

21-22

Prior art documents discussed:


N/A

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*During the interview, Examiner indicates that the argument to claims 21-22 are not persuasive as previously argued by Examiner. Applicant authorizes Examiner to perform an Examiner Amendment to cancel claims 21-22.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)